

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,135	07/18/2003		Volker Guemmer	2560-0412	1868
7590 11/15/2004				EXAMINER	
Klima & Jack Suite 920	son LLI	P	MCALEENAN, JAMES M		
4501 North Fairfax Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22203				3745	
			D. T. L. L. T. D. 11/16/200	DATE MAIL ED. 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>N N</b>					
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/622,135	GUEMMER, VOLKER					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James M McAleenan	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	I, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are:	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignable</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec	ication No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • •	eived.					
	ist of the continue copies for fee						
Attachment(s)	🗖						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/15/2003</u> .	_	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example:

Claim 1, recites "at least one rotor row and a free number of stator rows flown by a fluid", as it written the claim describes the rotor and stator blades as flying? This certainly does not make any sense; the claims need to be written to conform to the English language, wherein Applicant's claimed invention can be clearly and concisely understood.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Fukue (U.S. Patent Number 6,065,282) or Hoshino et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,768,893). Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose a fluid flow machine including a rotor row

Art Unit: 3745

and a free number of stator rows flown by a fluid with one blade thereof positioned on throat confining surfaces provided with both a device for fluid removal from a flow path and a device for fluid supply into the flow path (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 5, lines 57-67 and Col. 6 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 3, lines 32-57 of Hoshino et al.). Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose one line associated with the device for fluid removal for returning the removed fluid to an upstream position in the flow path and one further line associated with the device for fluid supply for supplying fluid from a further downstream position in the flow path (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 2, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the device for fluid removal being provided on one blade of at least one stator and rotor row of blades and connects via one line to a device for fluid supply on at least one blade of at least one of a further rotor and stator row of blades (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 23-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-37 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 3, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the device for fluid supply being provided on one blade of at least one stator and a rotor row of blades and is fed via at least one line from a device for fluid removal on one blade of one of a further rotor and stator row of blades (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 15-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-55 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 4, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose a restrictor positioned in at least one of the lines (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 45-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 23-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 5, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose at least one of the lines providing for free flow of the fluid. Regarding claim 6, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the blade being provided with a device for the variable control of the fluid flow area for at least one

Art Unit: 3745

of the fluid removal and supply, respectively (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 30-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-52 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 7, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for returning the removed fluid being provided with a discharge chamber positioned at an inlet to the line (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 8, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for supplying the fluid being provided with a supply chamber at an outlet to the line. Regarding claim 9, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the blade being a variable stator blade with a fluid supply channel and a fluid discharge channel being arranged within a spindle of the blade (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the two channels leading into an out of the flow path, respectively, to at least one of a machine casing and a rotor hub to provide for bi-functionality for the blade. Regarding claim 10, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose a restrictor positioned in at least one of the lines. Regarding claim 11, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose at least one of the lines providing for free flow of the fluid. Regarding claim 12, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the blade being provided with a device for the variable control of the fluid flow area for at least one of the fluid removal and supply, respectively (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 13, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for returning the removed fluid that is provided with a discharge chamber positioned at an inlet to the line (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 14, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for supplying the fluid being provided with a

Art Unit: 3745

supply chamber at an outlet to the line. Regarding claim 15, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose a restrictor positioned in at least one of the lines. Regarding claim 16, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose at least one of the lines provided for free flow of the fluid. Regarding claim 17, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the blade being provided with a device for the variable control of the fluid flow area for at least one of the fluid removal and supply, respectively. Regarding claim 18, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for returning the removed fluid that is provided with a discharge chamber poisoned at an inlet to the line. Regarding claim 19, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for supplying the fluid being provided with a supply chamber at an outlet to the line. Regarding claim 20, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the device for fluid supply is also provided on the blade with the device for fluid removal and is fed via at least one line from a device for fluid removal on at least one blade of at least one of a second further rotor and stator row of blades (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 21, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose a restrictor positioned in at least one of the lines. Regarding claim 22, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose one of the lines providing for free flow of the fluid. Regarding claim 23, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the blade being provided with a device fro the variable control of the fluid flow area for at least one of the fluid removal and supply, respectively. Regarding claim 24, Fukue and Hoshino et al. disclose the line for returning the removed fluid being provided with a discharge chamber positioned at an inlet to the line (see Figures 3-4 and Col. 6, lines 1-60 of Fukue) (see Figure 3, 5-7 and Col. 4, lines 1-60 of Hoshino et al.). Regarding claim 25, Fukue and Hoshino et al.

Art Unit: 3745

disclose the line for supplying the fluid being provided with a supply chamber at an outlet to the line.

#### **PRIOR ART**

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of 1 patent.

Bunker (U.S. Patent Number 5,611,197) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Huber et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,782,076) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Marvin (U.S. Patent Number 5,305,599) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Griffiths (U.S. Patent Number 6,378,287) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Eveker et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,582,183) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Feulner (U.S. Patent Number 6,574,965) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Guimier et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,468,123) is cited to show similar rotor fluid flow features as claimed by Applicant's invention.

Art Unit: 3745

# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M McAleenan whose telephone number is 703-308-2827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on 703-308-1044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/622,135

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. McAleenan Patent Examiner

703-308-2827

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10/25/04